Express Mail Mailing Label No.: EV668159247US

### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From TE	the RNATIONAL SEA	RCHING AUTHO	ORITY			
To:	To:				PCT	
see form PCT/ISA/220				WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORIT (PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)		
				Date of mailing (day/month/year) see	e form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)	
1	licant's or agent's file form PCT/ISA/2			FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below		
1	rnational application T/GB2004/00099		International filing date (d	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 18.03.2003	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC G11B5/842, G11B5/712, H01F41/16, H01F10/00, H01F1/00, A61K9/28						
	licant NOMAGNETICS	SLTD				
1.	This opinion co	contains indications relating to the following items:				
	Box No. I	Basis of the op	pinion			
	☑ Box No. II	Priority			·	
	☐ Box No. III	Non-establishr	ment of opinion with rega	ard to novelty, invention	ve step and industrial applicability	
	⊠ Box No. IV	Lack of unity o			and the state of t	
	⊠ Box No. V	Reasoned stat applicability; ci	ement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> tations and explanations	s.1(a)(i) with regard to s supporting such stat	novelty, inventive step or industrial ement	
İ	☐ Box No. VI	Certain docum	ents cited			
	Box No. VII		s in the international app		·	
	☐ Box No. VIII	Certain observ	ations on the internation	nal application		
2.	FURTHER ACT	ION				
	If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.					
	submit to the IP	EA a written repleted a written repleted in the contract of mailing and the contract of the co	priate, with amendme	IPEA, the applicant is invited to ents, before the expiration of three of 22 months from the priority date,		
	For further optio	ns, see Form PC	CT/ISA/220.			
3.	For further detai	ils, see notes to	Form PCT/ISA/220.			

Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016 Authorized Officer

Stichauer, L

Telephone No. +31 70 340-1959



10/549715

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE 'NTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/000999

JC20 Rec'd PCT/PTO 1 6 SEP 2009

		TO TO SEP ZUIS				
	Box N	o. I Basis of the opinion				
1.	With re	egard to the <b>language</b> , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in guage in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
	lai	nis opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following nguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search nder Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).				
2.	With renecess	egard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and sary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
	a. type	of material:				
		a sequence listing				
		table(s) related to the sequence listing				
	b. form	b. format of material:				
		in written format				
		in computer readable form				
	c. time	of filing/furnishing:				
		contained in the international application as filed.				
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.				
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
3.	ha co	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating there as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional opies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as oppropriate, were furnished.				
4.	Additic	onal comments:				

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE YTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/000999

_	Во	x No. II	Priority		
1.	$\boxtimes$	The following document has not been furnished:			
		⊠	copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).		
			translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).		
		Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.			
2.		has be	onion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim en found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis.</i> 1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international ate indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.		
3.	Additional observations, if necessary:				
	- Po	x No. IV	Lack of unity of invention		
_	БО				
1.		In resp	onse to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees, the applicant has:		
			paid additional fees.		
			paid additional fees under protest.		
			not paid additional fees.		
2.	☐ This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the applicant to pay additional fees.				
3.	This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3				
	□ complied with				
	$\boxtimes$	not com	plied with for the following reasons:		
		see se	parate sheet		
4.	Consequently, this report has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:				
	⊠ all parts.				
		the parts	s relating to claims Nos.		

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE 'NTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/000999

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-84

No: Claims

85-86

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

1-84

No: Claims

85-86

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-86

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

### 10/549715

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

### JC20 Rect PRIVERCT GB20940009999

#### Re Item IV.

The separate inventions/groups of inventions are:

1-25,85-86

a known method used to form a magnetic recording device, and magnetic recording device obtainable by said method

26-47,48-69

a method of forming a magnetisable film or a film of inorganic nanoparticles, said method characterized by using a suspension of magnetisable or inorganic nanoparticles, each having been formed within a protein shell

70-84

a method of forming a protein thin film, said method characterized by including a membrane filtration step

They are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept (Rule 13.1 PCT) for the following reasons: technical features "method of forming a film of particles, which comprises preparing a suspension of particles in a carrier fluid and depositing said fluid suspension onto a substrate surface as droplets having a volume less than about 1nl to form said film of particles as a dry residue of the deposited fluid suspension "which are common to all separate inventions, are not special technical features (they are disclosed in D1 - see below).

#### Re Item V.

1. The following documents are referred to in this communication:

D1: PAUL CALVERT: "Inkjet Printing for Materials and Devices" CHEM. MATER., vol. 13, 9 December 2001 (2001-12-09), pages 3299-3305, XP002284900

D2: EP 1 217 616 A (NANOMAGNETICS LTD) 26 June 2002 (2002-06-26)

#### 2. INDEPENDENT CLAIM 85

- 2.1. The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 85 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT:
  - Claims for products defined in terms of a process of manufacture are

admissible only if the products as such fulfill the requirements for patentability, i.e. inter alia that they are new and inventive.

- Document D2, however, discloses (paragraph [0001]) "a magnetic recording device having a film of magnetisable nanoparticles".

#### 3. DEPENDENT CLAIM 86

Dependent claim 86 does not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT).

#### 4. INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1

4.1. Document D1, which is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art, discloses (the whole document): "method of forming a film of magnetisable (inorganic) nanoparticles, which comprises preparing a suspension of magnetisable (inorganic) nanoparticles in a carrier fluid and depositing said fluid suspension onto a substrate surface as droplets having a volume less than about 1nl to form said film of magnetisable (inorganic) nanoparticles as a dry residue of the deposited fluid suspension".

From this, the subject-matter of independent claim 1 differs in that said method is used to *form a magnetic recording device* having said film of magnetisable nanoparticles.

The subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore novel (Article 33(2) PCT)

- 4.2. The problem to be solved by the present invention may be regarded as *how to obtain* very smooth and continuous films demanded for magnetic recording (see present application, paragraph [0004]).
  - The solution to this problem proposed in claim 1 of the present application is considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) for the following reasons: no prior art has been found which would suggest use of the above method to form a magnetic recording device. This is a surprising effect of present invention which would not be obvious to those skilled in the art of magnetic recording.

#### 5. DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2-25

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/GB2004/000999

Claims 2-25 are dependent on claim 1 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.

#### INDEPENDENT CLAIMS 26 AND 48

6.1. See 4.1. for disclosure of D1.

From this, the subject-matter of independent claim 26 (48) differs in that **each of** magnetisable (inorganic) nanoparticles within the suspension has been formed at least partially within a protein shell, resp.

The subject-matter of claims 26 and 48 is therefore novel (Article 33(2) PCT).

6.2. The problem to be solved by the present invention may be regarded as how to storage magnetisable (inorganic) nanoparticles during the deposition of thin film from a suspension.

The solution to this problem proposed in claim 26 (48) of the present application is considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) for the following reasons: although using of proteins to encapsulate magnetisable (inorganic) nanoparticles is known *per se* (see D2), no prior art has been found which would disclose proteins as storage material for nanoparticles during deposition of thin films from suspensions. This solution would not be obvious to those skilled in the art.

#### 7. DEPENDENT CLAIMS 27-47 and 49-69

Claims 27-47 are dependent on claim 26, and claims 49-69 are dependent on claim 48. As such these claims also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.

#### 8. INDEPENDENT CLAIM 70

8.1. Document D1 discloses: "method of forming a protein thin film on the surface of a substrate, which comprises preparing a suspension of protein particles in a carrier fluid and depositing said fluid suspension onto a substrate surface as droplets having a volume less than about 1nl to form said film on the substrate as a dry residue of the deposited fluid suspension".

From this, the subject-matter of independent claim 70 differs in that said protein

particles have been subjected to a membrane filtration step prior to deposition. The subject-matter of claim 70 is therefore novel (Article 33(2) PCT).

8.2. The problem to be solved by the present invention may be regarded as how to promote the monosdispersity of protein particles and how to remove unwanted debris (see present application, paragraph [0074]).

The solution to this problem proposed in claim 70 of the present application is considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) for the following reasons: no prior art has been found which would suggest use of membrane filtration step before deposition of protein particles from a suspension. This solution would not be obvious to those skilled in the art.

#### 9. DEPENDENT CLAIMS 71-84

Claims 71-84 are dependent on claim 70 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.